SS1011

tion to recognizing

s, was also open

ntithetical to libe

istence of God do

side the classroo

f Darwin Year a

ffering, Philosop

college's academ

ourses seem to ha

ks in his pursuit

nere is no empiri

ess of toleration

ieve some thing

o support our cau

annot divorce va

victimizęd by an

s I am that Si

ere against his w

ate atheist student

ently from the mo

on can easily draw

ptance. This priva

a valid and god

prepare its studen

portant and difficu

ts. Thus, the colle

nd spiritual growt

science major fr**o**

an@davidson.edu

h pursuing.

's purpose.

Perspectives

ampus must respect all lifestyles

Guest Writer

fter reading Kevin Cook's article, "Promote tolerance, ecific lifestyles," I felt compelled to write a response. there may not be more of a phobia of homosexuality other different lifestyles on campus, I believe one still This is evident from the numerous acts of homophobia on Patterson Court - on First Weekend Down alone, were two known occurrences.

even the simplest of situations, many students feel it is wuse the words "gay" and "faggot" to give something ative connotation. Davidson can only become a more ing campus when people accept that homophobia it is all too easy to not fix a problem when people

people should not be "announcing one's sexuality from untaintop," how then do we address Patterson Court a? On any given Friday or Saturday, anyone can walk me of those parties and find at least three couples making the dance floor – a culture that plenty of Davidson nts have subscribed to.

If people should not be "announcing sexuality from a mountaintop," how, then, do we address Patterson Court parties?

Students gather for brunch the next morning and laugh their hook-ups the night before. Would students take lightheartedly if it was two people of the same gender ting up? There is something to be said about keeping private life behind closed doors, but doesn't everyone to go out to dinner with their significant other?

Doesn't everyone want to take their boyfriend or girlfriend heir house's formal? It is hard to simply tell people not do because they will have to accept what others will think of Whough Davidson should not promote one way of living,

the College does promote heterosexuality, even though it is

Although Davidson should not promote one way of living, the College does promote heterosexuality, even though it is not blatantly said.

If we should not be promoting one sort of lifestyle, then Davidson should not endorse other organizations such as BSC, OLAS or ACAA – there should be no organizations where people can come together to celebrate who they are, simply because they are different from the majority of the students here. While Davidson students are not outside rallying, everyone wants to be accepted, not in spite of, but because of who they are.

These groups are established on campus not because students want to promote their way of life, but to promote tolerance and acceptance - a difference that needs to be made clear. Groups that promote tolerance and equality on campus are not there to make students feel uncomfortable, but to do just that – promote tolerance.

It is clear that there is a general uneasiness with homosexuality on campus - whether or not everyone has experienced it.

While people not accepting certain minorities may be "an inescapable reality," trying to get everyone to at least be tolerant is not an idealistic goal. It is not unreasonable for people to hope for and work towards tolerance of their lifestyles.

Tolerance and acceptance can only come from the students; after all, we are the future of the College. We, as current students, should set the example for the future students that Davidson is an accepting and tolerant community, not tell them that there is no problem.

Alex Polhill '11 is a sociology major form Charlotte, N.C. Contact her at alpolhill@davidson.edu.

is constantly testall types of sexuality are created equal

OFFICERS OF THE GAY STRAIGHT ALLIANCE **Guest Writers**

Kevin Cook's recent article about promoting tolerance the "homosexual lifestyle/movement/cause" on campus intirely misled. Homophobia at Davidson is real; it is e, painful and hypocritical of a campus that is supposed upport "diversity" to not acknowledge its pervasiveness. While Mr. Cook would have the entire GLBTQ nunity get back into the closet and stay there, quietly, ately living out their sexual lives, when do we ever ask of those subscribing to the "heterosexual lifestyle?" No thooses to be straight any more than someone chooses to gy, or black, or white, or ugly, or pretty, or tall or small... and on the list goes.

Let us say it again since this seems to be a huge point of repancy among students: sexuality is not a choice. It is talifestyle. It is not a movement. It is not the issue du jour. part of life for every student on campus. It is an honest nowledgement of self and a kind of self-understanding is unfortunately discriminated against on campus.

Despite Mr. Cook's claim that since the letters about nd should not be any mophobia on campus came from alumni and are therefore can president simple existent on today's campus, we can only respond that he dent in the near future that it is on campus slights the GLBTQ community that Bush sustained for the sand halfely and halfely that it is on campus slights the GLBTQ community. ther, and belittles its struggle for acceptance on campus. By failing to recognize that there is a problem, and demning days of acceptance like National Coming Day and the BBQueer (for the record, "queer" is the likely correct terminology for homosexual), Mr. Cook effectively deprived a community of its right to speak out inst intolerance and acts of hatred directed towards it.

> Let us say it again since this seems to be a huge point of discrepancy among students: sexuality is not a choice.

The purpose of these events is not to "promote a lifestyle" muit new members to the "movement", but they aim her to let the GLBTQ community at Davidson know that are welcome here, and that they are a part of the Davidson mly as much as any other student, faculty, or staff member. of awareness for the gay community are not trying to the college to "go gay" or encourage people to "bat for the erteam." The celebratory, strategic promotion of the "gay

lifestyle" that Mr. Cook sees is very different from the goals of acceptance that we see.

We do agree with him on one item from his article, that no one wants a Davidson student to feel uncomfortable. But when "fag" becomes synonymous with "loser", and "that's so gay" has become the new "that's so retarded," people are bound to feel uncomfortable and upset. In reality, your sexual life is not lived exclusively behind closed doors. Not when you are straight, not when you are gay, and especially not when you go to a school with 1,700 students. People are going to know whose room you walked out of Saturday morning in Friday night's clothes.

Straight couples hold hands on campus and kiss on the Court, so why should gay students be forced to hold back until they are in the confines of their own private space? Everyone has their own issues with PDA, but when someone is called out just because their display is gay, it is clear that we are not doing enough to make the campus comfortable for

Straight couples holds hands on campus and kiss on the Court, so why should gay students be forced to hold back until they are in the confines of their own private space?

When you strip away the labels, the slurs and the politically correct considerations, it must be obvious that gay students are people too. They should not have to edit any part of their lives out of fear that by being honest with themselves they are stepping on anyone's toes. Why is it so hard for a campus as intelligent and considerate as Davidson's to see that gay issues are not trendy or contrived, but they are civil rights issues?

Discrimination of any strain poisons a community and threatens its integrity. But even worse, failure to recognize a problem or the denial of its existence discredits a community by taking away its voice. Whether shouted from a mountain top or quietly discussed in private, recognize the GLBTQ community on campus, its struggle for acceptance and its daily issues brought on by students like you.

Contact the Gay Straight Alliance at licooper@davidson. edu.

Poor leaders tarnished Republican Party



understand the illness permeating the Republican Party, one needs to look no further than its leadership. The big three of President George W. Bush, Senator Mitch McConnell and Representative John Boehner have not only failed the party but the United States. In essence they sold the country out in order to play feel-good politics.

President Bush had a Republican Congress for six years and instead chose to fight a war against Islamofascism by being politically correct,

letting the government grow like Jack's Beanstalk and putting out a welcome mat for illegal aliens. Even worse was that the House and Senate followed like sheep. Could there be any shock that the Republicans have lost two straight elections? When both parties are identical, why vote for the one that is less experienced in big-government?

If the Republicans of the 111th Congress wish to be reborn from their own ashes they need leaders who will be willing to go head-to-head with the unprecedented amount of socialism that is sure to result from an Obama-fied Washington.

Even though all three leaders have dug a massive grave, Mitch McConnell might have done the lion's share of the work. Not only did he support the illegal alien amnesty bill and the bailout, but he is also a massive pork-lover. In fact, he even brags about his ability to bring home the pork claiming that no freshman senator would even be able to attempt to reach the more than \$500 million he brought to Kentucky during. the past year. Thus, in the midst of economic turmoil he talks about bankrupting taxpayers. It is a shock he managed to be

Now the question is what to do? The best answer is to demand new leadership. While Bush is on his way out, Boehner and McConnell retained their respective seats. This, as I have said before, is why primaries are so important. In a sane world these two would have never been able to run in the general election, as voters would have already dismissed them. Now, even though they won re-election in the House and Senate, Republicans need to regain their gumption and refuse to elect them to positions of power. Better yet, if these men.had any sense of dignity left they would follow the example of House Whip, Roy Blunt, and resign the leadership positions in the face of their massive failures. However, history is not on the side of the Republican Party selecting strong leadership. They somehow manage to elect the most corrupt or immoral amongst them. Individuals like Trent Lott, Newt Gingrich or Tom DeLay have become the upper echelon of the party.

President Bush had a Republican Congress for six years and instead chose to fight a war against Islamo-fascism by being politically correct, letting the government grow like Jack's Beanstalk and putting out a welcome mat for illegal aliens.

If the Republicans of the 111th Congress wish to be reborn from their own ashes they need leaders who will be willing to go head-to-head with the unprecedented amount of socialism that is sure to result from an Obama-fied Washington. I would love to see Michele Bachmann become the House Minority Leader and James Inhofe or Jim DeMint become the Senate Minority Leader. These individuals are all committed conservatives who unabashedly support the military, the fight against Islamo-fascism, foreign energy independence and small government. Better yet they all refused to support the recent bailout bills. These individuals have what it takes to put the public back in the Republican Party by bringing back strong God-loving, gun-toting conservatism.

Bobby DesPain '11 is undeclared. He is from St. Louis, Mo. Contact him at bodespain@davidson.edu.

aintain enthusiasm d pettiness, but yo all you have is Two y twist and warp a st – but the messag

ise and high-ground o often politics haw o the faults of a mag men who champion me from the ancies e and its citizenry. an of the city - an ome men and wome

peral, but, if we are to become more that it is to see each othe tead focus on seein ogether to overcom

ually become Oband nd should not be an

job to hold them a dards of competence tion suffered. It is not criticism – it is ou

we came to leaving one side of the coi e man of the people ependent thought had fore his inexplicable e other we had Sarah presented everything ous, denunciatory and asy to bash on Palig onsider this: McCair

from New York, N.

place if we hadn